COON CREEK WATERSHED DISTRICT
BOARD OF MANAGERS' MEETING
April 22, 2019

The Board of Managers of the Coon Creek Watershed District held their regular meeting on April 22, 2019 at the Bunker Hills Activities Center.

1. Call to Order: The meeting was called to order at 5:30 PM
Present: Matt Herbst, Warren Hoffman (as Chair), Michael Kreun, Dwight McCullough
Absent: Anthony Wilder.
Staff: Corinne Elfelt, Tim Kelly, Ed Matthiesen, Michelle Ulrich
Others: Carol Tharaldson; Jason Husveth – Critical Connections Ecological Services, Inc;
David Pattberg – Centra Homes; Jacqui Johnson.

2. Approval of the Agenda: Herbst made a motion to move Items # 7. The Goddard
School Permit Review and #8. Club West Dredging Permit Review to the Consent
Agenda. Seconded by McCullough. Motion carried with four yea (Herbst, Hoffman,
Kreun, and McCullough) and no nays.

Kreun moved to Approve the Amended Agenda. Seconded by Herbst. Motion carried
with four yea (Herbst, Hoffman, Kreun, and McCullough) and no nays.

3. Announcements: None

4. Open Mike: No one spoke at open mike

CONSENT ITEMS
5. Approval of Minutes of April 8, 2019 Meeting

7. The Goddard School Permit Review: The purpose of this project is the
development of a child care center in a 10,060 square foot building on a 2.5 acre lot
located at 126th Ave NE and Central Ave NE in Blaine, Minnesota.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>NEED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Escrows: $2,000 + (2.5 ac * $500/ac) = $3,150.00</td>
<td>1. Receipt of escrows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance: It is unknown who will be responsible for the inspection and maintenance of stormwater facilities. A maintenance agreement has not been executed. The applicant has submitted a Maintenance Plan for each Stormwater Treatment Practice.</td>
<td>2. Provide and execute an O&amp;M Agreement that meets District requirements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Staff recommendation was to Approve with 2 Stipulations as follows:
1. Receipt of escrows, $3,150.00.
2. Provide an O&M Agreement that meets District requirements.

8. Club West Dredging Permit Review: The purpose of this project is to remove up to 500 cubic Yards of sediment on pond bottom around irrigation intake pipe located at 11211 Clubwest Parkway in Blaine, Minnesota.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>NEED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Escrows: $2,000 + (0.03 ac * $500/ac) = $2,015</td>
<td>1. Receipt of escrows.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staff recommendation was to Approve with 1 Stipulation as follows:
1. Receipt of escrows, $2,015.00.

Kreun moved to Approve the Consent Agenda Items. Seconded by Herbst. Motion carried with four yeas (Herbst, Hoffman, Kreun and McCullough) and no nays.

POLICY ITEMS
6. Public Hearing on Proposed Impoundments
Motion to Open the Public Hearing at 5:33 p.m. by McCullough, seconded by Kreun. Motion carried with four yeas (Herbst, Hoffman, Kreun and McCullough) and no nays.

Kelly summarized the basis of the hearing: On March 1, 2019, the City of Blaine submitted a petition for the impoundment of two ditches, 58-8 and 59-9, to restore wetland, enhance a park and create a wetland bank.

Kelly reviewed the Public Notices that were given:
Published on the District Website April 9 through 22, 2019;
Published in the Anoka Union Herald and Blaine Spring Lake Park Life on April 12 and 19, 2019;
Affidavits of written and emailed notices to the three property owners on April 11, 2019.

A review of the Petition by Kelly noted:
City of Blaine Petition was entered into the Record, received March 11, 2019;
Resolution Accepting the Petition and Ordering the Engineering Report into the Record was Adopted March 11, 2019;
Engineers Report was received April 8, 2019;
The Resolution Receiving the Engineers Report and Ordering the Hearing into the Record was Adopted April 8, 2019/

The Engineer reviewed his report concluding:
The discharges at the weir locations and downstream of the confluences to not change significantly; The proposed project will provide public benefits, mostly within the boundaries of Pioneer Park, namely enhancement of wetlands and upland habitat. The project is not expected to have any negative environmental impacts. The petitioner has met the requirement of Minnesota Statutes 103E.227, Subpart 3.

Public Comment: Ms. Thuraldson asked for clarification on the location of the impoundment. Ms. Thuraldson was shown a more detailed map of the location. Kelly noted the City of Blaine expressed their support as did Critical Connections Environmental Services as collaborators on this potential project and that Century 21 is aware and have voiced no opposition to the potential project.

Acting Chair Hoffman asked for any further public comment three times. Hearing none, nor any additional comments from the Board or staff, Motion to Close the Public Hearing was made by Herbst, seconded by Kreun. Motion carried with four yeas (Herbst, Hoffman, Kreun and McCullough) and no nays.

The proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions and Order were reviewed:

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. The Coon Creek Watershed District (District) published the Notice of Hearing in this matter on the following dates in the following newspapers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication Date</th>
<th>Newspaper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April 12 &amp; 19, 2019</td>
<td>ABC News</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   The District also posted the Notice of Hearing on its website April 9 through April 22, 2019.

2. Pursuant to Minnesota Statutes sections 103E.227 (3)(b) and 103E.261 (1) (2018) the District on April 10, 2019 mailed the Notice of Hearing to the petitioner City of Blaine and affected property owners and political subdivisions.

3. All interested parties who were present and desired to be heard were heard.

4. Anoka County Ditch No. 59-Branch 8 and Branch 9 is part of the public drainage system lying entirely within Anoka County and the Coon Creek Watershed District. The District as the drainage authority has jurisdiction over this public drainage system.

5. On March 11, 2019 the District Board of Managers received a petition from the City of Blaine under Minnesota Statutes section 103E.227 (2018) to impound water for
beneficial use within that part of County Ditch No. 59-Branch 8 and Branch 9 located within and immediately adjacent to Pioneer Park, Blaine, MN, as more particularly described in the attached Exhibit A (Impoundment Area).

6. The impoundment as proposed by the City of Blaine consists of the installation of one weir on County Ditch No 59-Branch 8 and one weir on County Ditch No. 59-Branch 9. The proposed weirs will impound surface water to enhance wetlands and to create additional wetlands in Pioneer Park.

7. The City of Blaine has agreed it will be responsible for the installation and construction costs of the proposed drainage system modification. The City of Blaine also agrees to acquire at its expense any necessary drainage easements for construction and maintenance of the proposed project.

8. Pursuant to Minnesota Statutes section 103E.227 (3)(a) (2018) the District directed the District Engineer Wenck Associates, Inc. to investigate the effect of the proposed impoundment and drainage system modification and prepare a report on the findings.

9. The District Engineer has completed his work and filed his report.

10. The District Engineer reported that the proposed impoundment project does not impair the utility of the ditch system or deprive affected land owners of its benefit. The only property likely to be affected by the proposed project is the City of Blaine’s property upon which the impoundment is located. Additionally, the modeling results show that the water surface elevations and peak discharge downstream of the impoundment are not materially changed and therefore are not impairing the utility of the ditch or depriving affected land owners of its benefit. The Engineer also reported that the proposed ditch modification is not expected to have any negative environmental impacts. The proposed project’s most significant public benefit is the enhancement of wetlands and upland habitat in Pioneer Park through extended detention of surface water. The District Engineer concluded that the proposed project will not impair the function of the drainage system or increase water elevations that will deprive land owners of the drainage system’s benefit or use of their land.

CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

1. Based upon the hearing, Engineer’s Report and other evidence presented, the impoundment project as proposed by petitioner City of Blaine will be of a public or private benefit and will not impair the utility of the drainage system or deprive affected land owners of its benefit.

2. The drainage system shall be modified as set forth in the City of Blaine’s plans, as approved by the District.
3. Before installing or constructing the impoundment project petitioner City of Blaine shall obtain all required permits and at its cost acquire all necessary drainage easements.

4. Petitioner City of Blaine shall be responsible for the construction and installation of the drainage system modification and associated project costs and easement acquisitions. Petitioner City of Blaine shall also be responsible at its costs for any future maintenance of the impoundment project as constructed.

Motion to Adopt the Findings of Fact and Conclusions and Order made by Herbst and seconded by Kreun. The motion carried with four yeas (Herbst, Hoffman, Kreun and McCullough).

Motion to reconvene the Open Mike made by Kreun and seconded by Herbst. The motion carried with four yeas (Herbst, Hoffman, Kreun and McCullough) and no nays.

Jacqui Johnson requested to speak at Open Mike regarding a recently published article regarding the closing of the WDE Industrial Waste Site. Ms. Johnson asked if the District does water testing in the area. Kelly noted the District does an annual test of Coon Creek. Kelly explained the toxic materials were placed over clay and a clay cap placed on top. Kelly suggested she may want to have her own well tested, Ms. Johnson said she would be having it tested soon.

PERMIT ITEMS


8. Club West Dredging Permit Review moved to Consent Agenda.

9. Spring Lake Park High School Activities Improvements Permit Review: The purpose of this project is the reconstruction of athletic facilities and install new synthetic turf field with approximately 6.3 acres of disturbance located at 1100 81st Avenue NE in Spring Lake Park, Minnesota.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>NEED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Escrows: $2,000 + (6.3 ac * $500/ac) = $5,150</td>
<td>1. Receipt of escrows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soils &amp; Erosion Control: The erosion control plans show gaps in the perimeter silt fence. Show additional perimeter controls or justifications for omitting perimeter controls in those areas.</td>
<td>2. Show additional perimeter controls or justifications for omitting perimeter controls in those locations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inlet protection devices are not shown in the plans.

3. Show locations of inlet protection devices on all structures that collect concentrated surface runoff. Add sediment controls at inlet to flared end section northeast of the proposed synthetic turf field.

Staff recommendation was to Approve with 3 Stipulations as follows:
1. Receipt of escrows, $5,150.00.
2. Show additional perimeter controls or justifications for omitting perimeter controls in those locations.
3. Show locations of inlet protection devices on all structures that collect concentrated surface runoff.

Motion to Approve with 3 Stipulations was moved by Herbst and seconded by Hoffman. The motion carried with three yeas (Herbst, Hoffman and McCullough), one abstained (Kreun) and no nays.

10. Hennum Meadows 2nd Addition Permit Review: The purpose of this project is the development of 2 single family lots on .67 acres located SE of 102th Lane NE and Jackson St NE in Blaine, Minnesota.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>NEED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Escrows:</strong> $2,000 + (0.75 ac * $500/ac) = $2,375</td>
<td>1. Receipt of escrows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Groundwater:</strong> It is unclear if the infiltration basin has adequate separation from the seasonal high-water table.</td>
<td>2. The applicant must provide a soil boring within the proposed infiltration practice to ensure a 3ft separation from the bottom of the practice. If separation cannot be met, the basin must be lined. If separation is met, the under drain must be removed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stormwater &amp; Hydraulics:</strong> The applicant is meeting the volume management requirement equivalent to infiltrating runoff from the first inch of precipitation. A post construction test on the infiltration basin will be required to verify the assumed infiltration rates are obtained.</td>
<td>3. The applicant must provide a note on the construction plans that a post construction test on the infiltration basin will be conducted by filling the basin to a minimum depth of 6 inches with water and monitor the time necessary to drain. The Coon Creek Watershed District shall be notified prior to the test to witness the results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The proposed low floor elevations are below the infiltration basin outlet and the highwater levels.</td>
<td>4. Provide Darcy calculations to demonstrate that the proposed infiltration basin high water level will not impact adjacent homes or raise building low floor elevations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain tile is proposed for infiltration basin.</td>
<td>5. It is recommended to install drain tile to the western edge of Lot 2 to ensure dry yards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current detail calls out native seed mix for biofiltration area.</td>
<td>6. Recommended to plant filtration basin with sod/lawn seed to reduce maintenance requirements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soils &amp; Erosion Control: District requires all stabilization vegetation be within seven (7) days of rough grading or inactivity.</th>
<th>7. Update construction plans to stabilize vegetation within 7 days of rough grading or inactivity.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infiltration basins are not protected from erosion and sedimentation during construction. After initial grading the District requires that infiltration basins be completely surround by erosion control measures to prevent the basin from clogging.</td>
<td>8. After initial grading completely surround the proposed infiltration basins with erosion control measures to prevent the basin from clogging.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance: It is unknown who will be responsible for the inspection and maintenance of stormwater facilities.</td>
<td>9. Provide an O&amp;M Agreement that meets District requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term protection of filtration basins is not adequate.</td>
<td>10. Provide permanent signage around filtration practices to insure their long-term operation. Detail to be provided by developer with wording to include “Filtration Area. No Fill or Structures Allowed Within.” or use MnDOT standard sign X3-6a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staff recommendation was to Table with 10 Stipulations as follows:

1. Receipt of escrows, $2,375.00.
2. The applicant must provide a soil boring within the proposed infiltration practice to ensure a 3ft separation from the bottom of the practice. If separation cannot be met, the basin must be lined. If separation is met, the under drain must be removed.
3. The applicant must provide a note on the construction plans that a post construction test on the infiltration basin will be conducted by filling the basin to a minimum depth of 6 inches with water and monitor the time necessary to drain. The Coon Creek Watershed District shall be notified prior to the test to witness the results.

4. Provide Darcy calculations to demonstrate that the proposed infiltration basin high water level will not impact adjacent homes or raise building low floor elevations.

5. It is recommended to install drain tile to the western edge of Lot 2 to ensure dry yards.

6. Recommended to plant filtration basin with sod/lawn seed to reduce maintenance requirements.

7. Update construction plans to stabilize vegetation within 7 days of rough grading or inactivity.

8. After initial grading completely surround the proposed infiltration basins with erosion control measures to prevent the basin from clogging.

9. Provide an O&M Agreement that meets District requirements.

10. Provide permanent signage around filtration practices to insure their long-term operation. Detail to be provided by developer with wording to include “Filtration Area. No Fill or Structures Allowed Within.” or use MnDOT standard sign X3-6a.

Motion to Table with 10 Stipulations was moved by Herbst and seconded by McCullough. The motion carried with four yeas (Herbst, Hoffman, Kreun and McCullough) and no nays.

11. Coon Rapids Blvd at Riverwalk Permit Review: The purpose of this project is road widening and rehabilitation of Coon Rapids Boulevard from Egret Blvd to East River Road in Coon Rapids, Minnesota.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>NEED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Escrows: $2,000 + (7.66 ac * $500/ac = $5,830.00)</td>
<td>1. Receipt of escrows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater &amp; Hydraulics: Exfiltration is modeled as 0.5 cfs for FB #20 and #21 in the HydroCAD model. Exfiltration is modeled as 0.5 in/hr for FB #20 and #21 in the Port Riverwalk model.</td>
<td>2. Exfiltration should be modeled as 0.5 in/hr for FB #20 and #21 in the HydroCAD model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB #21 - Exfiltration is routed to FB #30 in the HydroCAD model. Port Riverwalk model has exfiltration for FB #21 routed to Pond 200.</td>
<td>3. Clarify where the drain tile for FB #21 discharges to. Update routing of exfiltration in the HydroCAD model to reflect this.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staff recommendation was to Approve with 3 Stipulations as follows:
1. Receipt of escrows, $5,830.00.
2. Exfiltration should be modeled as 0.5 in/hr for FB #20 and #21 in the HydroCAD model.
3. Clarify where the drain tile for FB #21 discharges to. Update routing of exfiltration in the HydroCAD model to reflect this.

Motion to Approve with 3 Stipulations was moved by Hoffman and seconded by Herbst. The motion carried with three yeas (Herbst, Hoffman, and McCullough), one abstained (Kreun) and no nays.

12. Port Riverwalk Centra Homes Permit Review: The purpose of this project is the development of 137 lots on 43 acres located on Coon Rapids Blvd from north of Egret to south of Avocet in Coon Rapids, Minnesota.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>NEED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Escrows: $2,000 + (43 ac * $500/ac) = $23,500</td>
<td>1. Receipt of escrows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater &amp; Hydraulics: Impacts due to tailwater conditions of Coon Creek are unknown. Stage vs time data was not provided for all storm events.</td>
<td>2. Provide hydrographs including stage vs time data for all storm events in the proposed condition or update HydroCAD model to include tailwater conditions of Coon Creek at 841.4. Detailed hydrograph can be provided for tailwater conditions if needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exfiltration for FB #21 is routed to Pond 200 in HydroCAD model. However, FB #21 drain tile is not shown connecting to Pond 200 in the plans. Response to stipulation 13 received on April 10, 2019 states that drain tile for FB #21 will be routed to FB #40 OCS.</td>
<td>3. Clarify where the drain tile for FB #21 will be routed to. Update drain tile on construction plans to reflect this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The invert for the primary outlet device #1 for FB #21 is inconsistent in model and on CRB OCS 604 detail.</td>
<td>4. Update HydroCAD model to reflect the correct invert for the primary outlet device #1 for FB #21.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is unclear if impervious amounts for FB #20 and #21 shown in Appendix C include new impervious for the Coon Rapids Blvd project.</td>
<td>5. Clarify if impervious amounts for FB #20 and #21 in Appendix C include new impervious for the Coon Rapids Blvd project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soils &amp; Erosion Control: Comment letter received on April 10, 2019 states rip rap detail was provided on sheet 21.</td>
<td>6. Provide detail for rip rap at flared end sections.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
However, no detail was provided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Water Quality:</strong> All discharges into wetlands/water quality basins are pretreated by a sediment sump manhole or sediment containment practice.</th>
<th>7. SHSAM results were provided for sump manhole 200 with SAFL Baffle. However, it is unknown if the rest of the sumps are appropriately sized. Provide calculations for all sump manholes (SHSAM can be used to indicate sumps are appropriately sized to meet district removal rates of 80% TSS. A minimum of 4-foot depth is required to prevent resuspension for sumps. A rock containment barrier for the volume from the 0.5” event can also be used.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Groundwater:</strong> Tests pits are proposed to verify 3-foot groundwater separation from low floors. Lots 215-219 do not meet the low floor separation.</td>
<td>8. Provide acknowledgment that results of test pits to confirm 3-foot groundwater separation will be provided to the District and the City prior to home construction, and if separation is not met, plans that do meet the separation will be submitted for review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maintenance:</strong> It is unknown who will be responsible for the inspection and maintenance of stormwater facilities. A maintenance agreement has not been executed. The applicant has not submitted a Maintenance Plan for each Stormwater Treatment Practice.</td>
<td>9. Provide an O&amp;M Agreement that meets District requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wetlands:</strong> Wetland impacts are proposed.</td>
<td>10. An approved Notice of Decision must be issued by the LGU.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staff recommendation was to Approve mass grading with 10 Stipulations as follows:

1. Receipt of escrows, $23,500.00.
2. Provide hydrographs including stage vs time data for all storm events in the proposed condition or update HydroCAD model to include tailwater conditions of Coon Creek at 841.4. Detailed hydrograph can be provided for tailwater conditions if needed.
3. Clarify where the drain tile for FB #21 will be routed to. Update drain tile on construction plans to reflect this.
4. Update HydroCAD model to reflect the correct invert for the primary outlet device #1 for FB #21.
5. Clarify if impervious amounts for FB #20 and #21 in Appendix C include new impervious for the CRB project.
6. Provide detail for rip rap at flared end sections.
7. SHSAM results were provided for sump manhole 200 with SAFL Baffle. However, it is unknown if the rest of the sumps are appropriately sized. Provide calculations for all sump manholes (SHSAM can be used to indicate sumps are appropriately sized to meet district removal rates of 80% TSS. A minimum of 4-foot depth is required to prevent resuspension for sumps. A rock containment barrier for the volume from the 0.5” event can also be used.
8. Provide acknowledgment that results of test pits to confirm 3-foot groundwater separation will be provided to the District and the City prior to home construction, and if separation is not met, plans that do meet the separation will be submitted for review.
9. Provide an O&M Agreement that meets District requirements.
10. An approved Notice of Decision must be issued by the LGU.

Motion to Approve Mass Grading with 10 Stipulations was moved by Herbst and seconded by Hoffman. The motion carried with three yeas (Herbst, Hoffman and McCullough) one abstained (Kreun) and no nays.

13. WDE Industrial Waste Pit Removal Permit Review: The purpose of this project is removal of hazardous waste from industrial waste pit at the WDE landfill located at 14435 Crosstown Blvd in Andover, Minnesota.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>NEED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Escrows: $2,000 + (6.04 ac * $500/ac) = $5,020</td>
<td>1. Receipt of escrows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater &amp; Hydraulics: Stormwater flow from toe drain appears that it could create rills and erosion on slopes.</td>
<td>2. Provide clarification that stormwater discharging from toe drains will not cause erosion problems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soils &amp; Erosion Control: Detail is not provided for stabilized construction entrance.</td>
<td>3. Provide a detail for stabilized construction entrance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance: It is unknown who will be responsible for the inspection and maintenance of stormwater facilities. A maintenance agreement has not been executed. The applicant has not submitted</td>
<td>4. Provide an O&amp;M Agreement that meets District requirements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Staff recommendation was to Approve with 4 Stipulations as follows:
1. Receipt of escrows, $5,020.00.
2. Provide clarification that stormwater discharging from toe drains will not cause erosion problems.
3. Provide a detail for stabilized construction entrance.
4. Provide an O&M Agreement that meets District requirements.

Board discussion included who would do the monitoring of the site, Kelly noted that EPA and PCA would be doing the majority with CCWD doing the annual monitoring of Coon Creek. Herbst asked that the Board be updated regarding this project in the monthly Staff Report, or more, if needed.

Motion to Approve with 4 Stipulations was moved by Herbst and seconded by McCullough. The motion carried with four yeas (Herbst, Hoffman, Kreun and McCullough) and no nays.

14. Xeon Office/Lommereid Bldg Permit Review: The purpose of this project is the construction of a 80,000 square foot building on 9.3 acre lot located at Highway 10 and Xeon Street NW in Coon Rapids, Minnesota.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>NEED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Escrows:</strong> $2,000 + (6.3 ac * $500/ac) = $5,150</td>
<td>1. Receipt of escrows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maintenance:</strong> It is unknown who will be responsible for the inspection and maintenance of stormwater facilities. A maintenance agreement has not been executed. The applicant has not submitted a Maintenance Plan for each Stormwater Treatment Practice.</td>
<td>2. Provide an O&amp;M Agreement that meets District requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wildlife:</strong> The proposed project may include endangered, threatened species, or special concern species, rare natural communities, colonial waterbird nesting sites, migratory waterfowl concentration areas, deer wintering areas or wildlife travel corridors. Documentation from the DNR (Correspondence # ERDB 20190280) indicates rare features may be adversely affected by the proposed project and additional site assessments or review may be required.</td>
<td>3. Provide note on plans that contractors must receive the DNR flyers/Fact Sheets regarding Banding’s Turtles and follow DNR recommendations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Staff recommendation was to Approve with 3 Stipulations as follows:
1. Receipt of escrows, $5,150.00.
2. Provide an O&M Agreement that meets District requirements.
3. Provide note on plans that contractors must receive the DNR flyers/Fact Sheets regarding Blanding’s Turtles and follow DNR recommendations.

Motion to Approve with 3 Stipulations was moved by Herbst and seconded by Hoffman. The motion carried with four yeas (Herbst, Hoffman, Kreun and McCullough) and no nays.

DISCUSSION ITEMS
15. Revised Evaluation of Comprehensive Plan Implementation and District’s Strategic Environment: Kelly reviewed the staff report highlighting the District’s goal attainment, the evaluation of the operating environment, the strengths and weaknesses along with a review of the external environmental opportunities and threats.

16. Briefing on Current Operating Environment: Via a Power Point presentation, Kelly reviewed the District’s topography, weather patterns, changes in land use in recent years and watershed condition.

Motion to Receive the Report was moved by Herbst and seconded by Kreun. The motion carried with four yeas (Herbst, Hoffman, Kreun and McCullough) and no nays.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS
16. Building Update: Contractors are currently waiting for issuance of the building permits by the City of Ham Lake.

ADJOURN
The meeting adjourned at 6:50 PM on a motion by Hoffman, seconded by Herbst. Motion carried with four yeas (Herbst, Hoffman, Kreun and McCullough) and no nays.

Anthony Wilder, President