COON CREEK WATERSHED DISTRICT
BOARD OF MANAGERS' MEETING
February 9, 2015

The Board of Managers of the Coon Creek Watershed District held their regular meeting on February 9, 2015, at Bunker Hills Activity Center.

POLICY ITEMS
1. Call to Order: The meeting was called to order at 7:31 PM
   Present: Scott Bromley, Warren Hoffman, Clayton Kearns, Nick Phelps, and Byron Westlund.
   Staff: Rebecca Haug, TJ Helgeson, Tim Kelly, Ed Matthiesen, Michelle Ulrich
   Others: Amanda Bednar from City of Coon Rapids, Herb Blummal, Dennis Hagen of Andover, Jim Lindahl- Anoka Conservation District Supervisor, Don Osborne of Ham Lake, Douglas Osborne of Ham Lake, Diana Perron of Andover, Wes and Lois Spadgenske of Andover, Marilyn Sullivan of Andover, Mr. & Mrs. Eric Twito of Andover, and Wesley Volkenant of Andover.

2. Approval of the Agenda: Item 17. Wagamon Ranch has been re-added to the agenda. Motion to approve the Agenda, as amended, was made by Hoffman and seconded by Bromley. Motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Kearns, Phelps, and Westlund) and no nays.

3. Open Mike: No one present for open mike

CONSENT AGENDA
4. Approve Minutes
5. Receive Staff Report
6. Receive Monthly Financial Statements
7. Approve Bills

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-1 Floor and Carpet Care Inc.</td>
<td>347.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anoka Conservation District</td>
<td>2,250.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anoka County</td>
<td>50,881.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anoka County Parks</td>
<td>350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Underhill</td>
<td>120.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Sandblasting Co. Inc</td>
<td>135.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comcast</td>
<td>138.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawn Doering</td>
<td>96.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diana Shonyo</td>
<td>40.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat Rock Geographics</td>
<td>7,020.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GreatAmerica Financial Services Corp.</td>
<td>318.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hans Hagen Homes</td>
<td>633.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company</td>
<td>242.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerry Thompson</td>
<td>1,783.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
KWC Investment III, LLC 5,360.63
MG Main Street Properties, LLP 8,750.00
Metro Sales, Inc. 274.67
M Ulrich 3,045.00
Minuteman Press 842.07
Peterson Companies, Inc. 16,923.84
Solution Builders, Inc. 1,310.64
Sunram 36,354.27
TJ Helgeson 103.73
U S Bank 336.85
US Postmaster 993.56
Verison 226.85
Wenck Associates, Inc. 30,181.70

Motion to Approve Consent Agenda Items 4-7, was moved by Kearns and seconded by Hoffman. Motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Kearns, Phelps, and Westlund) and no nays.

POLICY ITEMS:
8. Public Hearing: Abandonment of County Ditch 57-4: Kelly gave a brief history of Ditch 57-4. He stated this hearing is required under Minnesota Statutes.

Kelly noted that the City of Andover requested the abandonment of Ditch 57-4 in December 2014. The City of Andover plans are to restore to wetland the former Woodland Creek Golf Course property using Legacy funds made available by the State.

Motion to convene public hearing was made by Hoffman and seconded by Bromley. Motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Kearns, Phelps, and Westlund) and no nays. The hearing convened at 7:45 PM. There were a total of 14 individuals present for the hearing.

Public comment and questions focused on location of Ditch 57-4 and reason for abandoning. Other questions had nothing to do with the matter at hand and concerned future extension of sanitary lines to around the high school and historical questions on development and sanitary sewer connection. Those questions were forwarded to the City of Andover.

Motion to close the hearing was made by Hoffman and seconded by Bromley. Motion carried with five yeas (Hoffman, Kearns, Phelps, and Westlund) and no nays. The hearing closed at 7:57 PM.

Kelly stated the Board must find that Ditch 57-4 does not serve a substantial useful purpose to property in the system and is not a substantial public benefit or utility.

Motion to Accept Findings of Fact, Conclusions, and Order, as presented by the District Attorney, was moved by Westlund and seconded by Bromley. Motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Kearns, Phelps, and Westlund) and no nays.
FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Coon Creek Watershed District (District) in accordance with Minnesota Statutes section 103E.806 (3) (2014) published Notice of Hearing in this matter on its website January 14, 2015 through February 9, 2015.

2. Pursuant to Minnesota Statutes section 103E.806 (3) (2014) the District provided Notice of Hearing in this matter by mail to the owners of all property benefited by the Anoka County Public Ditch No. 57 system.

3. All interested parties who were present and desired to be heard were heard.

4. Anoka County Public Ditch No. 57-Branch 4 is a part of the public drainage system lying entirely within Anoka County and the Coon Creek Watershed District. The District as the drainage authority has jurisdiction over this public drainage system.

5. On December 10, 2014 the District received a petition from the City of Andover under Minnesota Statutes section 103E.806 to abandon a part of the Public Ditch No. 57 system, Branch 57-4, located in Sections 28, 29, 32 and 33, Township 32 North, Range 24 West, Anoka County, Minnesota. The City of Andover is the owner of benefited property on Branch 4 of the Anoka County Public Ditch No. 57 system.

6. Abandonment of this part of the public ditch system will support the restoration of drained/altered wetlands and adjacent uplands within the area of the Woodland Creek Golf Course, property described as follows: The West Half of the SW Quarter of the SW Quarter of Section 28, the SE Quarter of the SE Quarter of Section 29, the North Half of the NE Quarter of the NE Quarter of Section 32 and the NW Quarter of the NW Quarter of Section 33, Township 32 North, Range 24 West, Anoka County, Minnesota. Retention and detention of water on and within the identified area is critical to a successful wetland restoration.

7. None of the properties within the area use, or is benefited by any drainage provided by Ditch 57-4. The Ditch was substantially filled or realigned in 1988 for construction of the Woodland Creek Golf Course. With construction of the golf course and the resultant loss of a defined drainage ditch there has not been any “public” use or benefit from Branch 4 of Ditch 57. Branch 4 of the Anoka County Public Ditch No. 57 system no longer serves a useful purpose and does not provide a public benefit and utility.

8. The District Engineer has investigated the effect of the proposed abandonment, and agrees that Branch 4 of the Ditch No. 57 system does not serve a substantial useful purpose to property remaining in the system and is not of a substantial public benefit and utility.

CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

1. Based upon the hearing, Engineer’s recommendation and other evidence presented, Branch 4 of the Anoka County Public Ditch No. 57 system does not serve a substantial useful purpose as part of the drainage system to any property remaining in the system, and is not of a substantial public benefit and utility.

2. The Coon Creek Watershed District Board of Managers orders that part of the public drainage system, Anoka County Public Ditch No. 57-4, be abandoned, as provided under Minnesota Statutes section 103E.806 (2014).
9. **Water Education Grant Application 15-01 Green Expo-Non-toxic cleaner activity materials:** Kelly noted this request is for the City of Coon Rapids and will be presented at the 5 Green Expos. The $1,000 will be used for materials and spray bottles for 500 households to make a non-toxic cleaner. The recipe for the cleaner is made available by MPCA.

Phelps expressed interest in having the District’s involvement with this project recognized, such as the District logo.

Motion to Approve the Water Education Grant for $1000 was moved by Hoffman and seconded by Bromley. Motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Kearns, Phelps, and Westlund) and no nays.

**PERMIT ITEMS:**

10. **3038 Bunker Lk Blvd-Lucius Residence:** The purpose of this project is removal of existing house and construction of proposed house on same site, different location at S of Bunker Lake Blvd NE in Ham Lake, MN.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

| **Escrows:** $2,000 + (16 ac + $500/ac) = $10,000 | 1. Receipt of escrows. |

Staff recommendation is to Approve with 1 Stipulation:
1. Receipt of escrows of $10,000.00

Staff recommendation to Approve with 1 Stipulation was moved by Hoffman and seconded by Bromley. Motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Kearns, Phelps, and Westlund) and no nays.

11. **Agro-K:** The purpose of this project is construction of an addition to an existing building, improve loading dock area, and additional parking at 81st Ave. NE between Main St. NE and Beech St. NE in Fridley, MN.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

| **Stormwater & Hydraulics:** Drain tile (856.0’) in stormwater pond is not modeled and exfiltration (857.0’) is modeled as discarded. Exfiltrated water needs to be routed to drain tile and drain tile needs to be included in model to give accurate outflow. | 1. Modeling inaccuracies need to be addressed. |

<p>| Pipe information in HydroCAD model does not match plans: | 2. Pipe information in model must be consistent with pipe information on plan set. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>In Elev (ft)</th>
<th>Diameter (in)</th>
<th>Length (LF)</th>
<th>Slope (%)</th>
<th>Out Elev (ft)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB-1</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>858.6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>858.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB-2</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>857.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>857.6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB-3</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>857.44</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB-4</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>856.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>857.33</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Escrows:** $2,000 + (1.5 ac * $500/ac) = $2,750.00

2. Receipt of escrows.

Staff recommendation was to Approve with 2 Stipulations:
1. Receipt of escrows of $2,750.00
2. Modeling inaccuracies need to be addressed.
   a. Pipe information in model must be consistent with pipe information on plan set.

Motion to Approve with 2 Stipulations, was moved by Kearns and seconded by Bromley. Motion carried with five yea's (Bromley, Hoffman, Kearns, Phelps, and Westlund), and no nays.

12. Hickory Meadows 2nd Addition: The purpose of this project is the addition of 10 lots to the Hickory Meadows Subdivision at 144th Ave just E of Prairie Road in Andover, MN.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

**Stormwater & Hydraulics:** A post construction test on the infiltration basin will be required to verify the assumed infiltration rates are obtained. The applicant must acknowledge that they will conduct a post construction test on the infiltration basin by filling the basin to a minimum depth of 6 inches with water and monitor the time necessary to drain. The Coon Creek Watershed District shall be notified prior to the test to witness the results.

**Escrows:** $2,000 + (11.5 ac * $500/ac) = $2,750.00

2. Receipt of escrows.
$7,750.00

Staff recommendation was to Approve with 2 Stipulations:
   1. Receipt of escrows of $7,750.00
   2. The applicant must acknowledge that they will conduct a post construction test on the infiltration basin by filling the basin to a minimum depth of 6 inches with water and monitor the time necessary to drain. The Coon Creek Watershed District shall be notified prior to the test to witness the results.

Staff recommendation to Approve with 2 Stipulations was moved by Westlund and seconded by Hoffman. Motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Kearns, Phelps, and Westlund) and no nays.

13. QC Companies: The purpose of this project is a building addition at Lincoln St. NE North of Bunker Lake Road in Ham Lake, MN.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

| Escrows: $2,000 + (.13 ac * $500/ac) = $2,065.00 | 1. Receipt of escrows. |

Staff recommendation was to Approve with 1 Stipulation:
   1. Receipt of escrows of $2,065.00

Staff recommendation to Approve with 1 Stipulation was moved by Kearns and seconded by Hoffman. Motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Kearns, Phelps, and Westlund) and no nays.

14. Sarah Jean Estates: The purpose of this project is a residential subdivision at the intersection of 127\textsuperscript{th} Ave. NE and Harpers St. NE in Blaine, MN.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

| Escrows: $2,000 + (5 ac * $500/ac) = $4,500.00 | 1. Receipt of escrows. |

Staff recommendation was to Approve with 1 Stipulation:
   1. Receipt of Escrows of $4,500.00

Staff recommendation to Approve with 1 Stipulation was moved by Hoffman and seconded by Bromley. Motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Kearns, Phelps, and Westlund) and no nays.

15. Storage World: The purpose of this project is a building addition in the NW quadrant of Hwy 65 and 167\textsuperscript{th} Ave NE in Ham Lake, MN.
The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Escrows: $2,000 + (15.77 ac * $500/ac) = $9,885.00</th>
<th>1. Receipt of escrows.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Staff recommendation was to Approve with 1 Stipulation:

1. Receipt of escrows of $9,885.00

Staff recommendation to Approve with 1 Stipulation was moved by Hoffman and seconded by Bromley. Motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Kearns, Phelps, and Westlund) and no nays.

16. Truckaline: The purpose of this project is parking lot expansion at 10070 Davenport St. in Blaine, MN.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soils &amp; Erosion Control: Wetlands are not protected from erosion and sedimentation during construction. Erosion control fence should be on the east side of the wetlands to protect from construction area.</th>
<th>1. Move silt fence to the east side of the wetland delineated area to protect wetlands.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Escrows: $2,000 + (0.4 ac * $500/ac) = $2,200.00</td>
<td>2. Receipt of escrows.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staff recommendation was to Approve with 2 Stipulations:

1. Receipt of escrows of $2,200.00
2. Move silt fence to the east side of the wetland delineated area to protect wetlands.

Staff recommendation to Approve with 2 Stipulations was moved by Westlund and seconded by Bromley. Motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Kearns, Phelps, and Westlund) and no nays.

17. Wagamon Ranch: The purpose of this project is single family development with roads, building pads, utilities, and stormwater treatment W of Hapers St. NE and N of 128th Ave. NE just upstream of the confluence of CD 59-7 and CD 59-4 in Blaine, MN.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wetlands: Declaration of Restrictions, Affidavit of Landowner, Consent to Replacement, and Proof of Property Ownership have not been completed for replacement wetland.</th>
<th>1. Submit Declaration of Restrictions, Affidavit of Landowner, Consent to Replacement, and Proof of Property Ownership for replacement wetland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Escrows: $2,000 + (93 ac *$500/ac) = $48,500.00

Wetland Escrows: $500 + (4.24 ac * $35,000/ac) = $148,900.00

Staff recommendation was to Approve with 3 Stipulations:
1. Receipt of performance escrows of $48,500.00
2. Receipt of wetland escrows of $148,900.00
3. Submit Declaration of Restrictions, Affidavit of Landowner, Consent to Replacement, and Proof of Property Ownership for replacement wetland

Staff recommendation to Approve with 3 Stipulations was moved by Bromley and seconded by Hoffman. Motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Kearns, Phelps, and Westlund) and no nays.

18. Willowfield: The purpose of this project is to develop an empty lot between Harpers St. NE and Jamestown St. NE (running N/S) and 129th Ave. NE and 128th Ave NE in Blaine, MN.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stormwater &amp; Hydraulics: The applicant is not meeting the volume management requirement equivalent to infiltrating runoff from the first inch of precipitation.</th>
<th>1. Provide stormwater runoff calculations that show the site is meeting the volume management requirement equivalent to infiltrating runoff from the first inch of precipitation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Water Quality Treatment Volume: a. The required treatment volume for the site is 5,250 cf. Update the water quality treatment volume calculations. i. As per CCWD rules, the 0.9 coefficient is not used in the stormwater calculations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The HydroCAD model does not match the utility plan set. Update the following portions of the HydroCAD model and/or utility plan: a. Proposed Pond: i. There is not an outlet shown in the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proposed Pond on the utility plan. The HydroCAD model has a 15” X 193’ long culvert has the primary outlet for the pond (inlet/outlet invert = 901.5’/900.9’). *This pipe matches the storm sewer from Manhole 11, which discharges into PX3.

ii. The discharge inlet in the HydroCAD model (899.00’) does not match the NWL listed on the utility plan (896.00’).

iii. Provide a location and detail for the 4.0’ long sharp-crested weir listed in the HydroCAD model.

b. PX3: Existing Pond:

i. The invert elevations for Device #1 (Primary) and #5 (Discharged) do not match the elevations listed in the water quality treatment volume calculations. Correct the water quality treatment volume calculations.

ii. Device #2 (orifice in weir wall invert elevation):
   1. HydroCAD invert elevation: 898.4’
   2. Utility plan invert
elevation: 899.42’

iii. Device #2 (orifice in weir wall diameter):
1. HydroCAD diameter: 4”
2. Utility plan diameter: 6”

iv. Device #4 (top of grate of outlet structure):
1. HydroCAD invert elevation: 901.99’
2. Utility plan invert elevation: 902.49’

v. Provide a detail for the outlet structure. Include:
1. Rim elevation
2. Inlet/outlet invert elevations
3. Inlet/outlet Pipes sizes
4. Weir orifice diameter and elevation
5. Top of weir elevation

c. Pond P4X:
   i. Add details for the outlet device on the utility plan.

4. Erosion Control:
   a. The proposed catch basin inlets onsite are not protected after installation.
      i. Add inlet protection for the proposed back yard catch basins.
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Lots 1A and 2A should include temporary easement for stormwater pond.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 6. | PX3 currently has a 100-year HWL of 900.9’. The HydroCAD model shows the 100-year HWL of 902.53’.  
   a. Provide low floor opening elevations for adjacent Savanna Grove lots that surround PX3 to ensure houses are protected from increase in HWL. |
| 7. | PX4 currently has a 100-year HWL of 900.8’. The HydroCAD model shows the 100-year HWL of 902.21’.  
   a. Provide low floor opening elevations for adjacent Harper Street Meadows lots that surround PX4 to ensure houses are protected from increase in HWL. |

A post construction test on the infiltration basin will be required to verify the assumed infiltration rates are obtained. The applicant must acknowledge that they will conduct a post construction test on the infiltration basin by filling the basin to a minimum depth of 6 inches with water and monitor the time necessary to drain. The Coon Creek Watershed District shall be notified prior to the test to witness the results.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>The applicant must acknowledge that they will conduct a post construction test on the infiltration basin by filling the basin to a minimum depth of 6 inches with water and monitor the time necessary to drain. The Coon Creek Watershed District shall be notified prior to the test to witness the results.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Escrows: $2,000 + (4.5 ac * $500/ac) = $4,250.00 |

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Receipt of escrows.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staff recommendation was to Table with 9 Stipulations:

1. Receipt of escrows of $4,250.00  
2. The applicant must acknowledge that they will conduct a post construction test on the infiltration basin by filling the basin to a minimum depth of 6 inches with water
and monitor the time necessary to drain. The Coon Creek Watershed District shall be notified prior to the test to witness the results.

3. Provide stormwater runoff calculations that show the site is meeting the volume management requirement equivalent to infiltrating runoff from the first inch of precipitation.

4. Water Quality Treatment Volume:
   a. The required treatment volume for the site is 5,250 cf. Update the water quality treatment volume calculations.
      i. As per CCWD rules, the 0.9 coefficient is not used in the stormwater calculations.

5. The HydroCAD model does not match the utility plan set. Update the following portions of the HydroCAD model and/or utility plan:
   a. Proposed Pond:
      i. There is not an outlet shown in the Proposed Pond on the utility plan. The HydroCAD model has a 15” X 193’ long culvert has the primary outlet for the pond (inlet/outlet invert = 901.5’/900.9’). *This pipe matches the storm sewer from Manhole 11, which discharges into PX3.
      ii. The discharge inlet in the HydroCAD model (899.00’) does not match the NWL listed on the utility plan (896.00’).
      iii. Provide a location and detail for the 4.0’ long sharp-crested weir listed in the HydroCAD model.
   b. PX3: Existing Pond:
      i. The invert elevations for Device #1 (Primary) and #5 (Discharged) do not match the elevations listed in the water quality treatment volume calculations. Correct the water quality treatment volume calculations.
      ii. Device #2 (orifice in weir wall invert elevation):
         1. HydroCAD invert elevation: 898.4’
         2. Utility plan invert elevation: 899.42’
      iii. Device #2 (orifice in weir wall diameter):
         1. HydroCAD diameter: 4”
         2. Utility plan diameter: 6”
      iv. Device #4 (top of grate of outlet structure):
         1. HydroCAD invert elevation: 901.99’
         2. Utility plan invert elevation: 902.49’
      v. Provide a detail for the outlet structure. Include:
         1. Rim elevation
         2. Inlet/outlet invert elevations
         3. Inlet/outlet Pipes sizes
         4. Weir orifice diameter and elevation
         5. Top of weir elevation
   c. Pond P4X:
      i. Add details for the outlet device on the utility plan.

6. Erosion Control:
a. The proposed catch basin inlets onsite are not protected after installation.
   i. Add inlet protection for the proposed back yard catch basins.
7. Lots 1A and 2A should include temporary easement for stormwater pond.
8. PX3 currently has a 100-year HWL of 900.9’. The HydroCAD model shows the 100-year HWL of 902.53’.
   a. Provide low floor opening elevations for adjacent Savanna Grove lots that surround PX3 to ensure houses are protected from increase in HWL.
9. PX4 currently has a 100-year HWL of 900.8’. The HydroCAD model shows the 100-year HWL of 902.21’.
   a. Provide low floor opening elevations for adjacent Harper Street Meadows lots that surround PX4 to ensure houses are protected from increase in HWL.

Staff recommendation to Table with 9 Stipulations was moved by Westlund and seconded by Hoffman. Motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Kearns, Phelps, and Westlund) and no nays.

19. Winandy Residence: The purpose of this project is new single family home construction at 960 157th Ave NE in Ham Lake, MN.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stormwater &amp; Hydraulics: The applicant is not meeting the volume management requirement equivalent to infiltrating runoff from the first inch of precipitation. However, most of the proposed impervious is equally distributed around the site. The proposed impervious is less than 1 acre and the site is well drained. Therefore, the District will not require storm water calculations.</th>
<th>Soils &amp; Erosion Control: Stabilizing vegetation is not proposed for disturbed areas within two weeks of rough grading.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Include a note to the plans that requires stabilizing vegetation to be used in disturbed areas within two weeks of rough grading.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Escrows:** 

\[ \text{Escrows: } 2,000 + (0.5 \text{ ac} \times 500/\text{ac}) = 2,250.00 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Escrows: $2,000 + (0.5 ac * $500/ac) = $2,250.00</th>
<th>2. Receipt of escrows.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Staff recommendation was to Approve with 2 Stipulations:
1. Receipt of escrows of $2,250.00
2. Include a note to the plans that requires stabilizing vegetation to be used in disturbed areas within two weeks of rough grading.
Staff recommendation to Approve with 2 Stipulations was moved by Hoffman and seconded by Bromley. Motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Kearns, Phelps, and Westlund) and no nays.

DISCUSSION ITEMS


Staff recommendation to receive the Report was moved by Bromley and seconded by Kearns. Motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Kearns, Phelps, and Westlund) and no nays.

21. Update of District AIS Plan and Strategy: Kelly that CCWD has refined the AIS chapter of our Comprehensive Watershed Management Plan in the areas of prevention and early detection/rapid response. It was noted that Helgeson and Phelps attended workshops put on by Anoka County. Points discussed with Anoka County staff were CCWD’s ability to identify key success indicators and to prioritize necessary actions, using the available funds.

22. Potential District Prevention and Rapid Response Projects: Kelly presented 10 proposed projects that he recommends CCWD present to Anoka County.

Motion to present the Proposals to Anoka County, was made by Bromley and seconded by Westlund. Motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Kearns, Phelps, and Westlund and no nays.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

23. MAWD 2015 Legislative Agenda: Kelly noted the agenda does not have a great impact on the Districts daily activities.

24. MPR News: Climate Change in Minnesota: 23 Signs: News Article Feb. 2, 2015 by MPR.

25. BWSR Article on Oak Glen Creek: February 2015 Snapshots Minnesota Board of Water & Soil Resources.


ADJOURN

The meeting adjourned at 8:48 PM on a motion by Hoffman and seconded by Bromley. Motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Kearns, Phelps, and Westlund) and no nays.

_____________________________
Byron Westlund, President