The Board of Managers of the Coon Creek Watershed District held their regular meeting on October 10, 2016 at the Bunker Hills Activities Center.

POLICY ITEMS

1. Call to Order: The meeting was called to order at 5:30 PM
Staff: Corinne Elfelt, Mario Frucci, Rebecca Haug, Tim Kelly, Abbey Lee, Ed Matthiesen, Michelle Ulrich.
Others: Jim Lindahl (ACD Supervisor); Mark Smith; Bill Griffith; Pat Minger; Bill Traxler

2. Approval of the Agenda: Hoffman moved to approve the Amended Agenda to include Items 16 and 17 on the Consent Agenda. Seconded by Bromley. Motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Phelps, Westlund and Wilder) and no nays.

3. Announcements: None

4. Open Mike: No one addressed the Board

CONSENT AGENDA

5. Minutes
6. Receive Staff Report
7. Receive Monthly Financial Statements
8. Approve Bills:
Claims totaling $111,469.02 on the following disbursement list will be issued and released upon Board approval.
Items 16 and 17 moved to Consent Agenda:

16. Parkside Boulder Wall – Approve with 3 Stipulations – See Summary below for full detail

17. Wood Pool Removal – Approve with 3 Stipulations – See Summary below for full details

Motion to Approve Amended Consent Agenda items, including Items 16 and 17, was made by Hoffman, seconded by Phelps. Motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Phelps, Westlund and Wilder) and no nays.

POLICY ITEMS

9. 2015 Audit Finding overall compliance report: The Board reviewed and received the auditor’s report for 2015, noting compliance with audit categories. District has responded to and already has initiated procedures to ensure it obtains the responsible bidder certification forms on all construction contracts over $50,000.

Westlund noted that Anoka County does the accounting for the District and due to, among other things, employee turnover they were not able to complete the audit in a timely manner.
Motion to Receive the Report was moved by Westlund and seconded by Phelps. The motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Phelps, Westlund and Wilder) and no nays.

10. **Complete Damage Assessment from September 21-22 Storm:** Rainfall of 5.9 to 7.7 inches in approximately 6 hour period. A high reported was at Springbrook Nature Center with 11.3 inches and Spring Lake Park High School with 10.8 inches. The core areas register at a 500 year average recurrence interval according to Atlas 14.

The heart of the storm appeared to affect only the southern portion of the watershed with damages reported in southern Coon Rapids and northern Fridley. The subwatersheds most affected are Lower Coon Creek, Pleasure Creek and Springbrook Creek.

On September 27, Governor Dayton declared an “Emergency” which included Anoka County. As federal assistance may be available through FEMA, District staff will be compiling information to obtain funding for some of the repairs.

The storm created three situations requiring immediate measures to reduce threats to life and property, such as protecting existing municipal water supplies, roads, homes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>RGU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Ditch 17 at 79th Ave, Fridley</td>
<td>Property Inundation</td>
<td>Fridley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Ditch 17 at 79th Ave, Fridley</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>Fridley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Ditch 17 (Springbrook Creek) at University Avenue, Coon Rapids</td>
<td>Road Flooding</td>
<td>ACHD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**High Priority Work for District (this fall and winter)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Corrective Action Recommended</th>
<th>Priority/Need</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roads &amp; Ped Trails</td>
<td>Ditch 41 between BNRR &amp; Xeon, Coon Rapids</td>
<td>Bank Failure</td>
<td>Bank &amp; Trail Reconstruction</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ditch 41 between Xeon Pl &amp; Coon Creek (Mallery Park)</td>
<td>Bank Failure</td>
<td>Bank &amp; Trail Reconstruction</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Control Facility</td>
<td>Pleasure Creek at Evergreen</td>
<td>Sedimentation of Channel</td>
<td>Excavate Channel</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Ditch 17 (Springbrook Creek) at Evergreen, Coon Rapids
   Sedimentation of Channel & Pond
   Excavate Channel & Pond
   High 4,800

Utilities
5. Ditch 17 at Ironton, Fridley Trees
   Remove Trees from Channel
   High 6,500

$169,800

Moderate Priority Work for District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Corrective Action Recommended</th>
<th>Priority/Need</th>
<th>TOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Control</td>
<td>Woodcrest Creek Pond at Woodcrest Creek Park, Coon Rapids</td>
<td>Pipe Separation</td>
<td>Reattach Flared end section</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>Rapids Lower Coon Creek downstream of Egret</td>
<td>Trees</td>
<td>Remove Trees from Channel</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>Bld</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motion to conduct high priority work involving channels and ponds and develop a funding plan for bank stabilization projects and develop a plan and timeline for addressing moderate priority work was moved by Westlund and seconded by Hoffman. The motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Phelps, Westlund and Wilder) and no nays.

11. Ham Lake-Sunrise WMO Boundary Evaluation and Petition:
The Coon Creek Watershed District is considered petitioning the Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources to change the Boundary. The Board reviewed a draft petition for review by the City of Ham Lake and Sunrise River Watershed Management Organization. The boundary change addresses the boundary between the Sunrise River Watershed Management Organization and the Coon Creek Watershed District in Sections 1, 2 and 3 of Ham Lake, Minnesota.

The petition was initiated as a result of a contract form a citizen who noted his property drained to Coon Lake and the Sunrise River. The reason for the requested boundary change is to achieve a more accurate alignment between the hydrologic and legal boundaries of the two WMOs. This will be accomplished by:

1. Transferring 109 parcels on approximately 334 acres that are within Coon Creek WD that should be within the Sunrise WMO
2. Transferring 33 parcels on approximately 156 acres that are within Sunrise WMO that drain to Coon Creek WD

Westlund addressed financial concerns for including the 33 parcels from Sunrise WMO in CCWD as these parcel may not have had the needed maintenance.

Phelps noted an error in the parcels and acres on the draft Petition that will need correction.

Motion to make need corrections to the draft Petition then forward the Petition to the City of Ham Lake and Sunrise River WMO for review was moved by Phelps and seconded by Bromley. The motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Phelps, Westlund and Wilder) and no nays.

PERMIT ITEMS
12. 13650 Yancy St NE – Andy Yoakum: The purpose of this project is the construction of a new accessory building to be located south of Yancy St and 137th Lane NE in Ham Lake, Minnesota.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>NEED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Escrows: $2,000 + (0.22 ac * $500/ac) = $2,110.00</td>
<td>1. Receipt of escrows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditch Hydraulics: A new culvert is proposed.</td>
<td>2. To be of sufficient capacity, a minimum of 12-inch culvert should be installed at existing ditch grade.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion and Soil Control: Provisions have not been made for cleaning road surfaces where sediment is transported by the end of the day.</td>
<td>3. Provide note that any tracked sediment will be cleared from roadway at end of construction day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife: The proposed project has the potential to include the threatened Black Huckleberry (Gaylussacia baccata).</td>
<td>4. Contact the DNR to have a DNR Natural Heritage Information System (NHIS) data review completed to determine if any records of state-protected species may be located within the boundary of this project.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staff recommendation is to Approve with 4 Stipulations as follows:

1. Receipt of escrows, $2,110.00.
2. To be of sufficient capacity, a minimum of 12-inch culvert should be installed at existing ditch grade.
3. Provide note that any tracked sediment will be cleared from roadway at end of construction day.
4. Contact the DNR to have a DNR Natural Heritage Information System (NHIS) data review completed to determine if any records of state-protected species may be located within the boundary of this project.

Motion to Approve with 4 Stipulations was moved by Hoffman and seconded by Bromley. The motion carried with five yea's (Bromley, Hoffman, Phelps, Westlund and Wilder) and no nays.

13. **Catcher’s Creek 2nd Addn – Variance Request:** The applicant for Catcher’s Creek Addition is requesting a variance to the District’s 100-foot easement requirement from the centerline of Coon Creek, Public Ditch No. 57. The applicant’s project involves the platting and construction of Catcher’s Creek 2nd Addition development in the City of Andover. The Board of Managers previously approved this project on July 13, 2015, that included a ditch maintenance easement (100-feet either side of the centerline) on Coon Creek (Public Ditch No. 57). The applicant is now requesting a variance for Lots 14 and 15, Block 3 of Catcher’s Creek 2nd Addition from the District’s 100-foot easement for Coon Creek, Public Ditch No. 57. Applicant is proposing a reduced easement of 60-feet from the centerline of Coon Creek on Lots 14 & 15.

The staff report provided to the Board details the applicant’s request for variance of a proposed easement. The proposed easement would be 60 ft. from the centerline of Coon Creek upon platting of the Catcher’s Creek 2nd Addn. development. Variances are intended to provide relief where strict compliance with the rules, regulations, and policies of the District create an undue hardship:

1. The strict enforcement of the rules would cause undue hardship because of circumstances unique to the property under consideration.
2. It is demonstrated that such action will be in keeping with the spirit and intent of the District rules, regulations and policies.
3. The proposed activity for which the variance is sought will not adversely affect the Public Health, Safety, or Welfare.
4. The variance is the minimum necessary, considering the purposes stated, to afford relief

The staff report submitted to the Board of Managers found the following:

1. There is no undue hardship. Both the Watershed District and the City of Andover allow the uses described in the application and at the October 14 meeting with reasonable conditions allowing structures, including fences to be moved. The applicant has not provided either evidence of calculations as to why adherence to the easement dimensions presents an undue hardship. The District contains many developments where residents cannot have decks, fencing, playground equipment, patios, sheds, pools or structural landscaping in the easement area without violating the easement. The developers plat the lots with only enough area for the home.
2. The variance request is not in keeping with the spirit and intent of the District’s, Rules, Regulations and Policies. The request for a 60 foot variance hinders and impedes the District’s ability to access and maintain the ditch both physically and in a cost effective manner. This point was clearly demonstrated by the diagram provided by the District Engineer and showing both the need and reasonableness of the 100 foot easement.
   a. During District review it was noted that the easement in this area will include a city trail. Ditch 57 is 15 feet from centerline (30 feet wide), the trail and backhoe require 35 feet, and the spoil pile requires a minimum 50 foot base (15+35+50=100).
   b. The current spoil piles extend from centerline up to 90 feet adjacent to proposed lots 14/15 and 60 feet along the south ditch bank as indicated by LiDAR, 2 foot contours and the 2014 routine ditch inspection. The current spoil piles indicate a minimum of 45 feet is necessary to deposit spoil material and that there are local constraints on the type of equipment and how maintenance is completed (e.g. visibility, wetland/floodplain fill, access, bank erosion, channel meandering…).
   c. It should also be noted that the floodplain mitigation within the easement further restricts the space to deposit spoil material.
3. The requested variance will adversely affect the public welfare by adding unneeded cost and adding time to drain excavated soil and transport it to the adjacent area where the applicant proposes the District fill floodplain mitigation.
4. The variance is not the minimum needed since the District has many other developments with the same lot constraints and this lot is not constructed yet. The applicant has not shown or discussed any alternatives showing that this request is the minimum needed.

Conclusions:
1. This variance is not in harmony with the purpose and intent of the District Rules.
2. The variance is not consistent with the District’s comprehensive/watershed management plan.
3. The applicant is able to put the property to use in a reasonable manner without reducing the ditch easement area. The District’s statutory requirement is to clean and maintain the County ditch system. The variance would create a public safety issue for the District’s contractor as it has been determined that 100 ft is the minimum needed in this stretch of the ditch to safely and adequately clean and maintain the ditch.
4. There are no circumstances unique to Lots 14 and 15 of the applicant’s property. The property was platted with only enough area for the home.
5. Granting a variance would change the essential character of the locality by setting precedence for neighboring property owners to encroach into the 100-foot easement of their property. It is not an existing nonconforming use that has neighboring properties closer to the ditch than the easement allows.

Staff recommendation was to deny the Request for Variance.
Applicant, Mark Smith, addressed the Board. He stated the 100 feet seems excessive and arbitrary. He stated only 35 to 40 feet should be needed for the trail and spoil pile.

Westlund noted lot 14 would not be eligible for a variance due to floodplain mitigation.

Smith stated the City of Andover requires the easement for lot 15 to be buildable. Kelly asked Smith if he had provided the District information regarding the city’s lot size requirements to meet lot of useable size requirements. Smith indicated he had not.

Phelps indicated that he has exactly the same situation on the lot he purchased in Blaine, and while it is not ideal he has accepted that he purchased the lot with the easement. Phelps stated the approval of the plat by the city and the preliminary plat and grading plan had included the 100-foot easement. Smith indicated he did not realize how tight the space was until after the plat was approved.

Bromley stated he felt this variance is a reasonable request and moved to approve the Request for Variance with a stipulation to provide information from City of Andover on minimum lot size. The motion was seconded by Westlund for discussion purposes.

Matthiesen stated the 60-foot easement is way too narrow to safely perform ditch maintenance and provide adequate room for spoil piles. Wilder commented that this would be setting precedence for others. Westlund noted the Request for Variance is for lots 14 and 15 and lot 14 does not need a variance.

Bromley rescinded his Motion.

Motion to Table the Request for Variance specifically for Lot 15, Block 3 to obtain city requirements of lot dimensions was moved by Wilder and seconded by Bromley. The motion carried with four yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Westlund and Wilder) and one nay (Phelps).

14. City of Coon Rapids Public Works Building Watermain and Parking Lot Expansion: The purpose of this project is replacement of the watermain at the Public Works Building and the expansion of the parking lot located at 1831 111th Avenue NW in Coon Rapids, Minnesota.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>NEED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Escrows: $2,000 + (1.94 ac * $500/ac) = $2,970.00</td>
<td>1. Receipt of escrows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater &amp; Hydraulics: The bottom surface area in the HydroCAD model is not correct.</td>
<td>2. Update HydroCAD model with bottom surface area shown on plan set. Currently 0.05 ac but should be closer to 0.005 ac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant must acknowledge that an infiltration test is required to show the application rate is consistent with the 0.59 cfs used in the model (approximately 10 in/hr).</td>
<td>3. The applicant must acknowledge that they will conduct a post construction test on the infiltration basin by filling the basin to a minimum depth of 6 inches with water and monitor the time necessary to drain. The Coon Creek Watershed District shall be notified prior to the test to witness the results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Soils & Erosion Control:** Additional information is needed to meet District’s Soil and Erosion Control requirements. | 4. Provide additional soil and erosion control measures noted above in the Soils & Erosion control section including:  
- Stabilizing vegetation proposed for disturbed areas within seven (7) days of rough grading.  
- Note whether soil stockpiles are proposed and if they will be fitted with sediment-trapping measures to prevent soil loss.  
- BMP completely surrounding the filtration basin  
- Construction schedules detailing when sediment trapping measures will occur; stabilization of earthen structures and the general timing of construction phases  
- Provisions for cleaning road surfaces where sediment is transported by the end of the day.  
- Provisions for repair and maintenance of all temporary and permanent erosion and sediment control practices. |
| **Water Quality:** SHASM should be updated to incorporate accurate site information. | 5. Update SHASM with the following info:  
- Update outlet pipe to 12” for all sump sizes  
- Update impervious %, CN and average slope to be consistent with HydroCAD. |

Staff recommendation was to Table with 5 Stipulations as follows:
1. Receipt of escrows, $2,970.00.
2. Update HydroCAD model with bottom surface area shown on plan set.
3. The applicant must acknowledge that they will conduct a post construction test on the infiltration basin by filling the basin to a minimum depth of 6 inches with water and monitor the time necessary to drain. The Coon Creek Watershed District shall be notified prior to the test to witness the results.
4. Provide additional soil and erosion control measures noted above in the Soils & Erosion control section including:
   - Stabilizing vegetation proposed for disturbed areas within seven (7) days of rough grading.
   - Note whether soil stockpiles are proposed and if they will be fitted with sediment-trapping measures to prevent soil loss.
   - BMP completely surrounding the filtration basin
   - Construction schedules detailing when sediment trapping measures will occur; stabilization of earthen structures and the general timing of construction phases
   - Provisions for cleaning road surfaces where sediment is transported by the end of the day.
   - Provisions for repair and maintenance of all temporary and permanent erosion and sediment control practices.
5. Update SHASM with the following info:
   - Update outlet pipe to 12” for all sump sizes
   - Update impervious %, CN and average slope to be consistent with HydroCAD.

Motion to Approve with 5 Stipulations was moved by Wilder and seconded by Bromley. The motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Phelps, Westlund and Wilder) and no nays.

15. Malibu Aerospace: The purpose of this project is construction of a new airplane hanger located at 2180 Oklahoma Avenue in Blaine, Minnesota.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>NEED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Escrows: $2,000 + (0.67 ac * $500/ac ) = $2,335.00</td>
<td>1. Receipt of escrows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion and Sediment Control: The applicant did not submit an erosion plan.</td>
<td>2. The applicant needs to submit an erosion control plan that meets District requirements. Refer to the Erosion &amp; Sediment Control section above for requirements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Stormwater and Hydrology:** The applicant is not meeting the volume management requirement equivalent to infiltrating runoff from the first inch of precipitation. Redevelopment counts as new impervious, regardless of existing conditions.

3. Volume management requirements must be met which includes infiltration/filtration of the first inch of precipitation off new or redevelopment impervious surfaces.

HydroCAD model did not indicate existing condition. It is unknown the rate control and volume have been met.

4. An existing model needs to be provided that indicates the site is meeting rate control.

**Water Quality:** It is unclear what the receiving water is for the site and the possible impacts to the water as a result of the project.

5. A drainage map for existing and proposed conditions including receiving waters needs to be provided. If there is an increase in rate/volume from the project site, the receiving water needs to be included in the model to ensure basin has capacity.

Staff recommendation was to Table with 5 Stipulations as follows:

1. Receipt of escrows, $2,335.00.
2. The applicant needs to submit an erosion control plan that meets District requirements. Refer to the Erosion & Sediment Control section above for requirements.
3. Volume management requirements must be met which includes infiltration/filtration of the first inch of precipitation off new or redevelopment impervious surfaces.
4. An existing model needs to be provided that indicates the site is meeting rate control.
5. A drainage map for existing and proposed conditions including receiving waters needs to be provided. If there is an increase in rate/volume from the project site, the receiving water needs to be included in the model to ensure basin has capacity.

**Discussion:** Traxler addressed the Board. Stated the information requested in the staff report had been submitted to the District on the date of this meeting at 4:20 p.m. and understands District Staff and the Engineer may not have had time to review, but would like to receive approval. Traxler stated the hazardous material from the leaking fuel tanks had been removed. As District staff had not been informed prior to this that this was a contaminated site, further discussion and documentation would be needed about the site. A 6th Stipulation would need to be added.

6. Provide MPCA Response Plan with MPCA contact.
Motion to Table with 6 Stipulations was moved by Westlund and seconded by Bromley. The motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Phelps, Westlund and Wilder) and no nays.

16. Parkside Boulder Wall: The purpose of this project is the installation of a new boulder retaining wall to be located at 1825 139th Avenue NE in Andover, Minnesota.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>NEED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Escrows:</strong> $2,000 + (0.03 ac * $500/ac) = $2,015.00</td>
<td>1. Receipt of escrows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Soils &amp; Erosion Control:</strong> Stabilizing vegetation is not proposed for disturbed areas within seven (7) days of rough grading.</td>
<td>2. Update construction plans to stabilize vegetation in 7 days of rough grading or inactivity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisions have not been made for cleaning road surfaces where sediment is transported by the end of the day.</td>
<td>3. Provide note that any tracked sediment will be cleared from roadway at end of construction day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staff recommendation was to Approve with 3 Stipulations as follows:
1. Receipt of escrows, $2,015.00.
2. Update construction plans to stabilize vegetation in 7 days of rough grading or inactivity.
3. Provide note that any tracked sediment will be cleared from roadway at end of construction day.

Motion was moved and Approved with 3 Stipulations with the Consent Agenda.

17. Wood Pool Removal: The purpose of this project is the removal of an underground pool located at 9740 Yellow Pine St NW in Coon Rapids, Minnesota.

The staff report provided to the Board identified the following issues and concerns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>NEED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Escrows:</strong> $2,000 + (.003 ac * $500/ac) = $2,001.50</td>
<td>1. Receipt of escrows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Soils &amp; Erosion Control:</strong> District requires all stabilization vegetation be within seven (7) days of rough grading or inactivity.</td>
<td>2. Provide note that requires stabilize vegetation in 7 days of rough grading or inactivity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisions have not been made for cleaning road surfaces where sediment is transported by the end of the day.</td>
<td>3. Provide note that any sediment tracked onto the street from construction activities will be removed on a daily basis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Staff recommendation was to Approve with 3 Stipulations as follows:
1. Receipt of escrows, $2,001.50.
2. Provide note that requires stabilize vegetation in 7 days of rough grading or inactivity.
3. Provide note that any sediment tracked onto the street from construction activities will be removed on a daily basis.

Motion was moved and Approved with 3 Stipulations with the Consent Agenda.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

18. Ditch 11 Inspection: This inspection is part of the District’s O&M program and the NPDES requirement of inspecting 20% of the open channels annually.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Cost Estimate</th>
<th>Immediate</th>
<th>Repair</th>
<th>Monitor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Obstruction</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditch Repair</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$125,021</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$110,771</td>
<td>$14,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank Failure</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$21,930</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$21,930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit Discharge</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaver</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>53</td>
<td><strong>$157,951</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$112,771</strong></td>
<td><strong>$45,180</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staff recommendation is to receive the report.

Motion to Receipt the Report was moved by Hoffman and seconded by Bromley. The motion carried with five yeas (Bromley, Hoffman, Phelps, Westlund and Wilder) and no nays.

19. Oak Glen Creek Pond: This project was submitted to BWSR for funding under the clean water grant funds in 2013. The water quality grant was funded for roughly $500,000. CCWD was contracted by the City to design the pond, and in 2014 submitted concepts to the City. The pond is on ConAgra property. Since the recent flooding events of September 21st and 22nd, Con Agra has incentive to move forward and incorporate as much flood control as possible in the project. As the grant is about to expire, an extension will need to be filed and the District will need to move quickly towards plans and specifications.

The District will work with the City of Fridley in the design and construction of a flood control stormwater pond that is in addition to the water quality improvements to the ConAgra pond. The work being proposed by the District and Fridley is to do the engineering, design, plans and specifications and construction management services for the project.
On behalf of the Board, Westlund acknowledged that the District is working with the City of Fridley to include flood mitigation to the water quality project.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

20. U.S. Senate Approves Water Resources Development Act: The U.S. Senate approved S. 2848, the Water Resources Development Act (WRDA), Sept. 15, 2016. The U.S. House of Representatives is still working on its own version of a WRDA bill. $100 million has been allocated to the state revolving loan fund program to assist communities with drinking water emergencies. The Senate WRDA bill also contains provisions regarding public education and notification about lead contamination. The bill also includes a provision authored by Sen. Sheldon Whitehouse (R.I.) directing the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to study the performance of composites and other materials in water resources projects and make recommendations on their ability to improve the performance of water infrastructure. The provision will make recommendations on how these materials can be used to build structures less susceptible to corrosion and structural degradation.

21. MAWD 2016 Conference Announcement and Registration: The Annual Meeting and Trade Show will be held December 1-3 in Alexandria. Please let Kelly know if you will be attending.

22. TMDL Approval Letter: The TMDL was submitted and approved. The District will now be using the TMDL for the ditch inspection program. TMDL’s will also be done for subwatersheds and CCWD will be working with each city to do their TMDL.

23. Groundwater Atlas Completed: The Geohydrology has now been completed and DNR has asked for comment.


ADJOURN
The meeting adjourned at 7:26 PM on a motion by Hoffman, seconded by Phelps. Motion carried with four yeas (Hoffman, Phelps, Westlund and Wilder) and no nays.

_____________________________
Byron Westlund, President